

United States Patent and Trademark Office

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE lited States Patent and Trademark Office dress COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,837	08/22/2002	Hanoch Kislev	P-2389-US	9971
27130	7590 10/02/2003		EXAM	INER
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			MACK, RICKY LEVERN	
10 ROCKEFE	LLER PLAZA, SUITE	1001		
NEW YORK,	NY 10020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00		10/009,837	KISLEV ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ricky L Mack	2873	
Period fo	• •			s
THE - External enternal ente	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION malons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 30. (b) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. When the mailing date of the communication of th	N. 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thio d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mastling date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und- tion of Claims			rits is
	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	·		
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2002 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the i	Examiner.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)l	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the present application from the International Issue the attached detailed Office action for a little.	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	е
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachmen		• •		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an PCT application filed Israel on 15 June 2000. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, "the focal plane" should be ---a focal plane---; and in claims 11 and 12, "the digestive tract" in lines 2-3 and "the output" in line 3 should respectively be ---a digestive tract--- and ---an output---.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the invention of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not

Art Unit: 2873

disclose a reflecting means having a plurality of reflecting surfaces. The specification also does not disclose more than one receiving means.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention
- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the focal curve plane" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. In claims 3, the phrase "receiving means are positioned in proximity of the focal curve plane, such that, when illuminating, rays from the illumination element, that are internally reflected from the optical window surfaces, will not be incident on the receiving means" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear as to the positional relationship of the receiving means with respect to the focal curve.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Due to the indefiniteness of the claimed invention as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, allowable subject matter could not be determined

Page 4

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing at least a generally relevant teaching regarding the claimed invention: Harvey (3745325), Cook (4005287), Glass (4017163), Barnes et al. (4234912), Rogers (4596050), Miyano et al. (5840014), Westort et al. (6612701), Kessler et al. (6416181), Agostinelli et al. (6511182 B1) and Yabe (JP 63200115 A).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky L Mack whose telephone number is (703) 305-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

RM September 24, 2003 RICKY MACK RIMARY EXAMINER